

1923-24

File No. 61-23

Previous References.

(L. B

1 R. 23-23-101

Serial Nos. 14, 15, 16, 17, 18

Later references.

Dep. 13-4-35.50-115
116. 114115

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for September 1923-

SUBJECT


Levy of Market fees in the weekly Auction
held at Mayasandra in the Tumakuru sub
the

At 18 To The President of Bd Tumakuru

No L 2143 of 16-9-23-

States that the AV Bd should free
Bye-Laws under Sec 38(1)(a) & obtain
the sanction of Govt before their
present proposal can be sanctioned

14/10/23



32562

Office of the President, District Board,
Tumkur District,

No. *G.C. 56* / *21-22* Dated Tumkur the 12th April 1924.

63 61-2/3-14



From

16 Md. Zahiruddin Mecci, Esquire, B.A.,

President, District Board, Tumkur District,.

Nagappa, Esquire, Bar-at-law,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Local and Legislative Departments, Bangalore.

S i r,

I have the honour to state that the Government Order No. L. 877-9/ L.B. 51-22-2 dated the 28th July 1922 sanctioning as a tentative measure for one year, the levy of market fees at the

margin-

- ~~1. One anna on every laden cart.~~
2. Six pies on every laden bullock or horse
- ~~3. Three pies on every head load.~~

ally noted

rates on

all articles brought for sale in the Santhe held on every Wednesday at Mayasandra in the Turuvakere Sub Taluk, to increase the resources of the Panchayet was given effect to from the 30th August 1922, and the realisation for one year amounted to Rs. 459/11/9.

The President of the Taluk Board, Turuvakere Sub Taluk, now reports that on the expiry of the term for which the levy of market fees was sanctioned by Government, the subject was placed before the Taluk Board and a resolution was passed to the effect that a recommendation be made for the permanent levy of the market fees in question on the ground that the levy had led to the increase in the resources of the Panchayet., and that the collection work is being satisfactorily carried out through the agency of the Taluk Board.

The District Board also agreed to the proposal of the Taluk Board and resolved at their meeting held on the 26th January 1924 that a recommendation may be made to Government in the matter.

2081

From

RECEIVED 1420 11/11/24
The President, District Board,
Tumkur District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Depart-
ments, Bangalore.

Dated Tumkur the 12 - 2 - 1924.

Despatched.

Received.

General No. 56 *his enclosures*
No. 1 21-22

ENCLOSURE NO.

S U B J E C T.

Requesting sanction of Government
for the permanent levy of 14 annas
per 100 of the rates already sanctioned
on articles brought for sale in
the bazaar held every Wednesday
at Mayasandra in Tumkur
Taluk.

[illegible]

5850 4

~~9174~~
~~575~~

S i r,

H. V. Kuntz

14 ✓

1) Subd with a draft G.O. Davenport.

2nd Member -

$$\begin{array}{r} 2) \overline{28} \\ 28 \end{array}$$

37 How does this mean appear in
various of the passages. In the
passages entitled to hand of the

Wm

Ken,

Consider
Please bring up

"1) The Village Panchayat is not entitled to market fees as a matter of course. (Sec 56). It is not known if the income from this market has been assigned to the Village Panchayat by the Taluk Board under Sec 56 (c) of the G.O. & P. Regn.

5) Main engine at sea

16524

Serial
No.

9) Subd with a draft enquiry
as per para 5 supra

At HK
17/5/24

D Done
17/5

15 20

The Presd DT Bd Durnkur.
No 9008 of 19/5/24

Calls for information re levy of
market fees in the weekly Sauthe
held at Masasautia in Durnkur
Taluk.

See

16

From the Presd, Durnkur DT Bd.

No G.C. 864/24-25 of 24-4-25.
States that the fees are levied
under G.O. no 877-9/LB 51-22-2
dated 28-7-22, that it may be
presumed that the levy was sanctioned
by Govt under section 62(2)(c) of
the L.B. and V.P. Regn and that the
income has been assigned to the
Panchayat inasmuch as the
levy was recommended in
order to increase the income of
the Panchayat.

9) Subd with ref to para 5

At
30/4/25

Serial
No

9) The President states that it is presumed that the Govt sanctioned the levy of the market fees under Sec 62(c) of the Mysore LBS & V.P. Regn. This gives power to Govt to frame rules providing for the levy of any tax or cess 'market fee' is no tax and it does not form part of the ~~income~~ ^{revenue} credited to the Village Fund. [Secs 56 & 57] It is now reported that the Taluk Board who manage the collection of market fees have assigned the income to the Village Panchayat under Sec 56(e). ^{The assignment should be under Sec 56(e) ~~the~~ this has been treated as contribution by the Taluk Bd} The levy of market fees was sanctioned temporarily for one year in the go. order no L 877-9 LBS 57.22.2 of 28th July 1922. Sanction is now solicited to make the levy permanent. The Dist Board should frame the necessary bye laws under Sec 38(1)(a) and obtain sanction of Govt thereto before their present proposal can be sanctioned.

HK

12/3/23

2.2.22

24/2/23

9302
15/5

13) Jm/ - and HM

21) 12/5-
12/5-
12/5-

16/5

14/5

16/5

1-13 File No. 6

1912

Serial
No.

16/ Draft sub.

15/ JHK

17/ Sm

18/ 125 185 25


1875

To The President St Bd 17


16/ 18/

28780 Date 9/6/25

Request that a do-2
 Copies of the Bye-Laws framed
 by the St Bd ^{16/ 18/} be
 sent to the members of the
 Bd sent



W L 2143 of 16/ 19/ 25 To President 18
 1850, Thimkur. States that the St Bd
 should frame bye laws under Sec 38(1) of
 the act, the sanction of your office
 then present proposal can be sanctioned
 and forward a copy of the bye laws framed
 by the Kolen Bd for reference & record



SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to... L 5850

Section LB
File No. 61-23
Serial No. 15

General and Revenue Secretariat
(LS 9)
, the 192 .

No. L 9008

Date of issue... 19/5/24

To The Pt. Dt. Bd. Tumkur

Signed by... [Signature]

Sir,
With reference to your letter

NO. G. S. 56/21-22 & 12.4.24.
Re. the permanent levy of market
fees in the ^{weekly} Santha held at Haza
Sandra in the Tumkur Taluk.
I am directed to enquire
whether the income from the
market fees has been assigned
to the Village Panchayat by the
Taluk Board under Sec 56(e)
of the L.B. & U. P. Regn., as the
U. P. is not entitled to it as
a matter of course, under Sec 56.

request to be informed of
the ~~income~~ ^{income} from the levy which
the fee is collected under

A very early reply is
required.

In L.H. 11/5

He seen

I have etc

18/5

less tight

L.L. Deke

17/5

18/5

List of enclosures.

Copied by .

Examined by


Office of the President, District Board,

Dated Tumkur, the 24th April 1925.

From

Md. Zahiruddin Mecci, Esquire., B.A.,

President, District Board, Tumkur.




Nagappa, Esquire., Bar-at-law.,

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the

Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative

Departments, B a n g a l o r e.



L 61-23-16

With reference to your No. L.9008/L B 61-23-15 dated the 19th May 1924 and in continuation of this office G.C.No.864 of 24-25 dated the 19th instant, re: the provisions of the law under which the market fees in the Weekly Santhe at Mayasandra in the Turuvekere Sub Taluk - are collected etc., I have the honor to state that it is seen from the report of the Amildar since received that the fees are being collected under Government Order No. L.877-9/L B 51-22-2 dated the 23th July '22 sanctioning the present levy through the agency of the Taluk Board, in order to increase the resources of the panchayet on the recommendation of both the District and the Taluk Boards, and that it could well be presumed that the Government sanctioned the levy under Section 62 (2) (c) of the Local Boards and Village panchayets Regulation VI of 1918, though no such section was mentioned in the Government Order.

2. He further states that the income from the source has also -- been assigned to the panchayet by the Taluk Board in as much as it is they that originally recommended the levy in order to increase the --- resources of the panchayet under Section 56 (c) of the said Regulation and have further recorded the Government Order sanctioning it subse- - quently.

I have the honour to be,
s i r,
your most obedient servant,

H. V. Mahanna
For president,
District Board,
T u m k u r.

56 (e)

Fair Draft 11
GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. L 8780
L 1361-23-17

Dated, Bangalore 9/6/ 1925

From

B. NAGAPPA, Esq.

*Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments.*

To

C. B. Gopal Rao, Esq.,
President, District Board,
Kolar District, K O L A R.

(THROUGH THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, KOLAR DISTRICT, KOLAR)

Sir,

I am directed to request you to be so good as to forward for reference a dozen copies of the Bye-laws framed by the District Board for the regulation and control of markets and approved in Government Order No. L.1500/L.B.83-23-11, dated the 21st August 1924.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

dfc)

W. S. S.
Secretary to Government,

Local and Legislative Departments

E.W.M/2.

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to 6773Section L.B.File No. 61-23Serial No. 17

General and Revenue Secretariat

, the

192

No.

L8780

Date of issue.....

9/6/25

Signed by.....

Mr. L.S.Order from L. Secy.25
22/5/25

To

The Presar, Kolar DistThe Dy. Commr, Kolar DistSir, I am directed to request you to
be so good as to forward forfor invitation reference to
a dozen copies of theGovt Order No. L. 1500/L.B.83-23-11 of 21st August 1924.

sanctioning the Bye-laws framed
by the Dist Board, Kolar for
the regulation and control
of markets in the area under
the jurisdiction of the Kolar
Dist Board and requesting to
forward a dozen printed
copies as
sanctioned for reference and
received to this Office, I am directed
to state that as no printed
copies are received till now
to in this Office till now the same
may be kindly sent at a very
early date.

over.

I have re.

22/5/25Lt. Secy to Govtwith
29/5

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to 6773

Section LB

File No. 61-23

Serial No. 18

No.

22143 on (1).

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 192

10

The Resident, Immamur ST Bd

Date of issue

16/9/25

Signed by

Gm T.C.S.

Sir,

With ref to the correspondence ending with your letter no G.L. 864/24-25 dated 24th April 1925 in regard to the levy of market fees permanently at Mayasandra in the Immamur ST Bd, I am directed to state that the ST Bd should frame the necessary bye-laws under Section 38 (1) (a) and obtain

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

obtain the sanction of
Govt. thereto before - their
present proposal can be
sanctioned. At this of the bylaws passed in this respect

by the Urban Dept. Board
is forwarded here
to represent a receipt

I have

W.D. 18/5

SS for all day

18/5/22 J.N.
18/5/22

ER 11
20/5